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Abstract: In this paper we derive the distribution of the ratio of two independent exponentiated Pareto random variables, $X$ and $Y$, and study its properties. We also find the UMVUE of $\Pr(X < Y)$, and the UMVUE of its variance. As some of the expressions could not be expressed in closed forms, some special functions have been used to evaluate them.

Zusammenfassung: In dieser Arbeit leiten wir die Verteilung des Quotienten zweier unabhängiger exponenzieller Pareto Zufallsvariablen $X$ und $Y$ her und studieren seine Eigenschaften. Wir finden auch den UMVUE von $\Pr(X < Y)$ und den UMVUE seiner Varianz. Da einige Ausdrücke nicht in geschlossener Form dargestellt werden können, wurden einige spezielle Funktionen verwendet, um diese auszuwerten.
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1 Introduction

The Pareto distribution is a power law probability distribution having cumulative distribution function (cdf)

\[ F(x) = 1 - \left( \frac{\beta}{x} \right)^c, \quad x \geq \beta, \quad c > 0, \tag{1} \]

where $\beta$ and $c$ are, respectively, the threshold and shape parameters.

The distribution is found to coincide with many social, scientific, geophysical, actuarial, and various other types of observable phenomena. Some examples where the Pareto distribution gives good fit are the sizes of human settlements, the values of oil reserves in oil fields, the standardized price returns on individual stocks, sizes of meteorites, etc. An extension/generalization of the Pareto distribution is the exponentiated Pareto distribution with cdf

\[ G(x) = F^\alpha(x), \quad \alpha > 0. \tag{2} \]

Here $\alpha$ denotes the exponentiating parameter, and for $\alpha = 1$ the distribution reduces to the standard Pareto distribution (1).

Pal, and Woo (2007) studied several exponentiated distributions, including the exponentiated Pareto distribution, and discussed their properties. They showed that the exponentiated Pareto distribution gives a good fit to the tail-distribution of Nasdaq data.

The problem of estimating the probability that a random variable $X$ is less than another random variable $Y$ arises in many practical situations, like biometry, reliability study, etc. This problem has been studied by many authors for different distributions of $X$ and $Y$, see, for example Pal, Ali, and Woo (2005), M. Ali, Pal, and Woo (2005), and M. M. Ali, Pal, and Woo (2009).

In this paper, we find the distribution of the ratio of two independent exponentiated Pareto random variables $X$ and $Y$ and study its properties. Some special functions have been used to evaluate terms that cannot be expressed in closed form. We also find the UMVUE of $\Pr(X < Y)$ and the UMVUE of its variance. Finally, we obtain the UMVUEs of $\Pr(X < Y)$ and its variance by analyzing a simulated data set and a real-life data set.

## 2 Distribution of the Ratio $Y/X$

Let $X$ and $Y$ be independent exponentiated Pareto random variables having cdf (2) with parameters $\alpha_1, \beta_1, c$ and $\alpha_2, \beta_2, c$, respectively. Using formula 3.197(3) in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (1965), the cdf of the ratio $Q = Y/X$ is obtained as

$$F_Q(z) = F\left(-\alpha_2, 1; \alpha_1 + 1; \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1 z}\right)^c\right), \quad \text{if } z \geq \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}, \quad (3)$$

where $F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_i (b)_i}{(c)_i} \frac{z^i}{i!}$ is the hypergeometric function and $(w)_i = w(w + 1) \cdots (w + i - 1)$ with $(w)_0 = 1$.

From (3) and formula 15.2.1 in Abramowitz and Stegun (1972), the pdf of $Q$ is therefore given by

$$f_Q(z) = \frac{c\alpha_2}{1 + \alpha_1} \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)^c z^{-c-1} F\left(-\alpha_2 + 1, 2; \alpha_1 + 2; \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1 z}\right)^c\right), \quad \text{if } z \geq \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}. \quad (4)$$

The $k$th moment of $Q$ about the origin is obtained as

$$E(Q^k) = \frac{c\alpha_2}{1 + \alpha_1} \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)^c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha_2 + 1)_i (2)_i}{(\alpha_1 + 2)_i} \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)^c \int_{\beta_2/\beta_1}^{\infty} z^{-(c+1)+k} dz$$

$$= \frac{c\alpha_2}{1 + \alpha_1} \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)^k \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha_2 + 1)_i (2)_i}{(\alpha_1 + 2)_i} \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{i!}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_2}{1 + \alpha_1} \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{k}{c}\right)^{1-k} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha_2 + 1)_i (2)_i}{(\alpha_1 + 2)_i} \frac{1}{(i + 1) - k/c} \frac{1}{i!}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_2}{1 + \alpha_1} \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{k}{c}\right)^{1-k} 3F_2(1-\alpha_2, 2; 1-\frac{k}{c}; \alpha_1 + 2, 2-\frac{k}{c}; 1), \quad \text{if } k < c, \quad (5)$$

where

$$3F_2(a, b; c; p; q; 1) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_i (b)_i (c)_i}{(p)_i (q)_i} \frac{z^i}{i!}$$
is the generalized hypergeometric function in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (1965).

Figure 1 shows the density curves for different combinations of \((\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\) when \(c = 5\) and \(\beta_2/\beta_1 = 2\). Table 1 provides the asymptotic means, variances, and coefficients of skewness of the density (4) when \(c = 5\), for different combinations of \((\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\). (We use formula 9.14(1) in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (1965) for the computation.) The figures indicate that the distribution of \(Q\) is skewed to the right.

Table 1: Asymptotic mean, variance, and coefficient of skewness of the density (4) with \(c = 5\) (units of mean and variance are \(\beta_2/\beta_1\) and \((\beta_2/\beta_1)^2\), respectively).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.5, 3.5)</td>
<td>1.20386</td>
<td>0.18101</td>
<td>2.7391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.5, 1.5)</td>
<td>0.91806</td>
<td>0.10180</td>
<td>2.6677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.5, 5.5)</td>
<td>1.14940</td>
<td>0.18179</td>
<td>2.5964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5.5, 3.5)</td>
<td>0.97778</td>
<td>0.18092</td>
<td>2.5840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5.5, 7.5)</td>
<td>1.12560</td>
<td>0.18122</td>
<td>2.5561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7.5, 5.5)</td>
<td>1.00342</td>
<td>0.14379</td>
<td>2.5519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7.5, 9.5)</td>
<td>1.11269</td>
<td>0.18079</td>
<td>2.5373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9.5, 7.5)</td>
<td>1.01776</td>
<td>0.15115</td>
<td>2.5354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 1, we observe that for \(c = 5\):

- the distribution is skewed to the right;
- for \(\alpha_1 > \alpha_2\), the distribution has smaller variance than that when \(\alpha_1 < \alpha_2\).

3 Distribution of the Ratio \(X/(X+Y)\)

Consider the ratio \(R = X/(X+Y)\), where \(X\) and \(Y\) are independent exponentiated Pareto random variables having the cdf (2) with parameters \(\alpha_1, \beta_1, c\) and \(\alpha_2, \beta_2, c\), respectively.
From (3) we obtain the cdf of the ratio $R$ as

$$F_R(z) = \Pr \left( \frac{Y}{X} > \frac{1 - z}{z} \right) = 1 - F \left( -\alpha_2, 1; \alpha_1 + 1; \left( \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} \frac{z}{1 - z} \right)^c \right), \quad \text{if } 0 < z < \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2}$$

(6)

and its density function is

$$f_R(z) = \frac{c_\alpha}{1 + \alpha_1} \left( \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} \right)^c \frac{z^{c - 1}}{(1 - z)^{c + 1}} \times F \left( 1 - \alpha_2, 2; \alpha_1 + 2; \left( \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} \frac{z}{1 - z} \right)^c \right), \quad \text{if } 0 < z < \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1 + \beta_2}$$

(7)

Using formula 7.512(5) in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (1965), the $k$th moment of $R$ about the origin is obtained as

$$E(R^k) = \frac{c_\alpha}{1 + \alpha_1} \left( \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} \right)^c \int_0^{\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2}} z^{k + c - 1} (1 - z)^{c - 1} F \left( 1 - \alpha_2, 2; \alpha_1 + 2; \left( \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} \frac{z}{1 - z} \right)^c \right) dz$$

$$= \frac{c_\alpha}{1 + \alpha_1} \left( \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} \right)^c \int_0^{\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2}} u^{k + c - 1} (1 + u)^{-k} F \left( 1 - \alpha_2, 2; \alpha_1 + 2; \left( \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} u \right)^c \right) du$$

$$= \frac{c_\alpha}{1 + \alpha_1} \left( \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \right)^k \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \right)^i \frac{(-k) P_i}{i!} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2}} v^{k + c + i - 1} F \left( 1 - \alpha_2, 2; \alpha_1 + 2; v^c \right) dv$$

$$= \frac{c_\alpha}{1 + \alpha_1} \left( \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \right)^k \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \right)^i \frac{(-k) P_i}{i!} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2}} v^{k + c + i} F \left( 1 - \alpha_2, 2; \alpha_1 + 2; v^c \right) dv$$

$$= \frac{c_\alpha}{1 + \alpha_1} \left( \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \right)^k \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \right)^i \frac{(-k) P_i}{i! (k + c + i)} \times F_2(1 - \alpha_2, 2; (k + c + i)/c; \alpha_1 + 2, (k + 2c + i)/c; 1), \quad \text{if } \beta_2 > \beta_1,$$

where $(a) P_i = a(a - 1) \cdots (a - i + 1)$ and $(a) P_0 = 0.$

Figure 2 shows the density curves and Table 2 provides the asymptotic means, variances, and coefficients of skewness for the distribution (7) for different combinations of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2,$ when $c = 5, \beta_1 = 1,$ and $\beta_2 = 2.$ The figure also shows that the distribution is skewed to the left.

Table 2 indicates that

- the distribution is skewed to the left;
- its mean and variance increase slightly as $\alpha_1$ increases when $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$ but the mean slightly decreases and the variance slightly increases as $\alpha_1$ increases when $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2.$
Figure 2: Density curves for $c = 5, \beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = 2$ for $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ (left) and $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$ (right).

Table 2: Asymptotic mean, variance, and coefficient of skewness for the distribution of $R$ when $c = 5$ and $\beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = 2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha_1, \alpha_2$</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.5, 3.5)</td>
<td>0.15237</td>
<td>0.01781</td>
<td>-0.7436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.5, 1.5)</td>
<td>0.07623</td>
<td>0.01824</td>
<td>-0.9984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.5, 5.5)</td>
<td>0.14275</td>
<td>0.01932</td>
<td>-0.4002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5.5, 3.5)</td>
<td>0.10631</td>
<td>0.02001</td>
<td>-0.6023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5.5, 7.5)</td>
<td>0.13727</td>
<td>0.01983</td>
<td>-0.2415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7.5, 5.5)</td>
<td>0.10875</td>
<td>0.02064</td>
<td>-0.4527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7.5, 9.5)</td>
<td>0.12844</td>
<td>0.01999</td>
<td>-0.1695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9.5, 7.5)</td>
<td>0.11026</td>
<td>0.02091</td>
<td>-0.3931</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Estimation of $\Pr(X < Y)$

Now we attempt to find the uniformly minimum-variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE) of $\xi = \Pr(X < Y)$, where $X$ and $Y$ are independently distributed as exponentiated Pareto, having cdf’s

$$G_X(x) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{\beta_1}{x}\right)^c\right]^{\alpha_1}, \quad x \geq \beta_1, \quad \alpha_1 > 0, \quad c > 0$$

$$G_Y(y) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{\beta_2}{y}\right)^c\right]^{\alpha_2}, \quad y \geq \beta_2, \quad \alpha_2 > 0, \quad c > 0.$$  

From (3) we have

$$F_Q(1) = \xi = \Pr\left(\frac{Y}{X} > 1\right) = \begin{cases} 1 - F\left(-\alpha_2, 1; \alpha_1 + 1; \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)^c\right), & \text{if } \beta_2 \leq \beta_1, \\ F\left(-\alpha_1, 1; \alpha_2 + 1; \left(\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}\right)^c\right), & \text{if } \beta_2 > \beta_1, \end{cases}$$

where $F(a, b; c; z)$ is the hypergeometric function. For $\beta_1 = \beta_2$,

$$R = 1 - F(-\alpha_2, 1; \alpha_1 + 1; 1),$$
which depends only on the exponentiating parameters. We shall assume that $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$ and $c$ are known.

**UMVUE of $\xi$:**

Let $(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$ and $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ be independent random samples of sizes $m$ and $n$ from the distributions of $X$ and $Y$, respectively, where $m, n > 2$.

Define $U_i = -\log(1 - (\beta_1/X_i)^c)$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, and $V_i = -\log(1 - (\beta_2/Y_i)^c)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then $(U_1, \ldots, U_m)$ and $(V_1, \ldots, V_n)$ form independent random samples from an Exponential($\alpha_1$) and an Exponential($\alpha_2$) distribution, respectively.

An unbiased estimator of $\xi$ is given by

$$Z = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } X_1 < Y_1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Since the complete sufficient statistics for $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ are $U^* = \sum_{i=1}^m U_i$ and $V^* = \sum_{i=1}^n V_i$, respectively, by the Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem the UMVUE of $R$ is

$$\hat{\xi} = E(Z|U^*, V^*) = Pr(X_1 < Y_1|U^*, V^*) = Pr(U_1 > V_1|U^*, V^*) = Pr(U_1 > V_1|U^*, V^*) = \Pr \left( \frac{U_1}{U^*} > \frac{V_1}{V^*} \middle| U^*, V^* \right).$$

(8)

Since $U_1/U^*$ and $V_1/V^*$ are independently distributed, with $U_1/U^* \sim \text{Beta}(1, m)$ and $V_1/V^* \sim \text{Beta}(1, n)$, (8) gives

$$\hat{\xi} = \begin{cases} n \int_0^1 (1 - v)^{a-1}(1 - av)^m dv, & \text{if } a < 1, \\ n \int_0^{1/a} (1 - v)^{a-1}(1 - av)^m dv, & \text{if } a > 1, \end{cases}$$

where $a = V^*/U^*$.

**UMVUE of $\xi^2$:**

An unbiased estimator of $\xi^2$ is given by

$$Z_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } X_1 < Y_1, X_2 < Y_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by the Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem the UMVUE of $R^2$ is

$$\hat{\xi}^2 = \Pr \left( \frac{U_1}{U^*} > \frac{V_1}{V^*}, \frac{U_2}{U^*} > \frac{V_2}{V^*} \middle| U^*, V^* \right).$$

$T_1 = U_1/U^*$ and $T_2 = U_2/U^*$ are jointly distributed with pdf

$$f_{T_1, T_2}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\Gamma(m)}{\Gamma(m-2)\Gamma^2(1)} (1 - t_1 - t_2)^{m-3}, \quad t_1, t_2 > 0, \quad t_1 + t_2 \leq 1.$$ 

Similarly, $W_1 = V_1/V^*$ and $W_2 = V_2/V^*$ are jointly distributed with pdf

$$f_{W_1, W_2}(w_1, w_2) = \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n-2)\Gamma^2(1)} (1 - w_1 - w_2)^{n-3}, \quad w_1, w_2 > 0, \quad w_1 + w_2 \leq 1.$$
Hence,

\[ \hat{\xi}^2 = \int_{W_1 > 0, W_2 > 0} \int_{W_1 + W_2 \leq 1} \Pr(T_1 > aW_1, T_2 > aW_2)f_{W_1, W_2}(w_1, w_2)dw_1dw_2. \]

Now, for given \( W_1 = w_1, W_2 = w_2 \),

- If \( a \geq 1 \)
  \[ \Pr(T_1 > aw_1, T_2 > aw_2) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } w_1 + w_2 \geq 1/a, \\
\int_{aw_1}^{1-aw_2} \int_{aw_2}^{1-t_2} f_{T_1, T_2}(t_1, t_2)dt_2dt_1, & \text{if } 0 < w_1, w_2 < 1/a, \\
w_1 + w_2 \leq 1/a. & \end{cases} \]

- If \( a \leq 1 \)
  \[ \Pr(T_1 > aw_1, T_2 > aw_2) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } w_1 + w_2 \geq 1, \\
\int_{aw_1}^{1-aw_2} \int_{aw_2}^{1-t_2} f_{T_1, T_2}(t_1, t_2)dt_2dt_1, & \text{if } 0 < w_1, w_2 < 1, \\
w_1 + w_2 \leq 1. & \end{cases} \]

Applying the transformation \( T_3 = \frac{T_2}{T_1} \), we note that \( T_1 \) and \( T_3 \) are independently distributed with \( T_1 \sim \text{Beta}(1, m - 1) \) and \( T_3 \sim \text{Beta}(1, m - 2) \). Then, for given \( W_1 = w_1, W_2 = w_2 \),

\[ \Pr(T_1 > aw_1, T_2 > aw_2) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } a \geq 1, w_1 + w_2 \geq 1/a \\
(m - 1)(aw_2)^{m-2}(1 - aw_1), & \text{if } a \geq 1, 0 < w_1, w_2 < 1/a, \\
\text{or } a \leq 1, 0 < w_1, w_2 < 1, \quad w_1 + w_2 \leq 1. & \end{cases} \]

Therefore, we have the following:

- If \( a \leq 1 \)
  \[ \hat{\xi}^2 = \int_{W_1 > 0, W_2 > 0} \int_{W_1 + W_2 \leq 1} \Pr(T_1 > aW_1, T_2 > aW_2)f_{W_1, W_2}(w_1, w_2)dw_1dw_2 \\
= \frac{\Gamma(m - 2)\Gamma(n - 2)}{\Gamma(m + n - 3)} a^{m-2} \int_0^1 (1 - w_1)^{m+n-4} (1 - aw_1)dw_1 \\
= \frac{\Gamma(m - 2)\Gamma(n - 2)}{\Gamma(m + n - 2)} a^{m-2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(m + n - 2)} - \frac{a}{\Gamma(m + n - 1)} \right\}. \]
if $a > 1$

$$\hat{\xi}^2 = \int_{0 < w_1 < 1/a, 0 < w_2 < 1/a} \Pr(T_1 > aW_1, T_2 > aW_2) f_{W_1, W_2}(w_1, w_2) dw_1 dw_2$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(m-2)\Gamma(n-2)}{\Gamma(m+n-3)} a^{m-2} \int_0^{1/a} B_{\frac{1+aw_1}{aw_1}}(m-1, n-2)(1-w_1)^{m-4}(1-aw_1)dw_1$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(m-2)\Gamma(n-2)}{\Gamma(m+n-3)} a^{m-2} \int_0^{1/a} B_{\frac{1+aw_1}{aw_1}}(m-1, n-2)(1-w_1)^{m-4}(1-aw_1)dw_1,$$

where

$$B_x(r, k) = \int_0^x z^{-1}(1 - z)^{k-1}dz.$$

The UMVUE of $\var(\hat{\xi})$ is then given by $\hat{\var}(\hat{\xi}) = \hat{\xi}^2 - \hat{\xi}^2$.

5 Data Analysis

We now analyze two sets of data, a simulated one and a real life one, to give UMVUEs of $\xi = \Pr(X < Y)$ and its variance.

Example 1 (Simulated Data)

The following two independent samples were generated from exponentiated Pareto distributions with parameters $\alpha_1 = 2$, $\beta_1 = 1.5$, $c = 2$ and $\alpha_2 = 1.5$, $\beta_2 = 1.25$, $c = 2$, respectively. The first distribution corresponds to that of $X$ and the second one to that of $Y$. The observations are as follows:

**Sample 1:** 1.775, 1.571, 2.484, 4.258, 1.518, 1.509, 1.609, 1.558, 4.425, 1.601, 6.511, 1.513, 7.986, 1.998, 8.316, 2.075, 1.503, 2.089, 1.508, 5.544, 1.506, 2.282, 2.093, 1.682, 1.817.

**Sample 2:** 2.110, 1.255, 1.559, 1.256, 1.436, 1.549, 1.402, 1.266, 1.708, 1.370, 1.689, 1.253, 1.910, 2.122, 1.455, 1.262, 1.804, 6.916, 1.352, 2.105, 2.047, 1.646, 3.648, 1.259, 1.500, 1.317, 1.311, 1.253, 1.643, 1.907.

The true value of $\xi = \Pr(X < Y)$ is 0.3145. Here, $a = 1.22898$. Hence, the UMVUE of $\xi$ and its variance are $\hat{\xi} = n \int_0^{1/a} (1-v)^{n-1}(1-av)^m dv = 0.4931$ and $\hat{\var}(\hat{\xi}) = 0.2432$, respectively.

Example 2 (Real Life Data)

Data on the major rice crop in the crop year 2001-2002 (April 1, 2001 to March 31, 2002) from two Tambols – Nongyang and Nonghan - of Amphoe Sansai in the Chiang Mai province, Thailand, have been used as samples (data source Watthanacheewakul and Suwattee, 2010). Each Tambol consists of a set of 228 and 281 farmer households, respectively. Samples of sizes 23 and 28 were drawn from each Tambol. The sampled data are shown in Table 3.

We first fitted exponentiated Pareto distributions with parameters $\alpha_1$, $\beta_1$, $c$ and $\alpha_2$, $\beta_2$, $c$, respectively, to the data on $X$ and $Y$ and then checked the goodness of fit using exponentiated Pareto probability plots.
Table 3: The Major Rice Crop in Kilograms from the two Tambols for the Crop Year 2001-2002 (April 1, 2001 to March 31, 2002).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nongyang X</th>
<th>Nonghan Y</th>
<th>Nongyang X</th>
<th>Nonghan Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3440</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>18000</td>
<td>3200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3200</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5400</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4250</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3800</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>3500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4300</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3700</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td>2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>3700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3250</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>3500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3500</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>2850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>3700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3400</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>3700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>4270</td>
<td>3600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3600</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>5800</td>
<td>3600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let \((X_1, \ldots, X_m)\) and \((Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)\) denote the random samples on \(X\) and \(Y\), and let the corresponding ordered statistics be \(X_{(1)} < \cdots < X_{(m)}\) and \(Y_{(1)} < \cdots < Y_{(n)}\). Then, the likelihood function for \(\theta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, c)\) is given by

\[
L(\theta) = c^{m+n} \alpha_1^m \alpha_2^n \beta_1^m \beta_2^n \prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{(i)}^{-(c+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{(i)}^{-(c+1)} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - (\beta_1 / x_{(i)})^c)^{\alpha_1-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - (\beta_2 / y_{(i)})^c)^{\alpha_2-1} I_{x_{(1)} > \beta_1, y_{(1)} > \beta_2},
\]

where the indicator function is defined as

\[
I_{x_{(1)} > \beta_1, y_{(1)} > \beta_2} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_{(1)} > \beta_1, y_{(1)} > \beta_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

Now, for \(\alpha_1 < 1, \alpha_2 < 1\), as \(\beta_1\) approaches \(x_{(1)}\) and \(\beta_2\) approaches \(y_{(1)}\), \(L(\theta)\) tends to \(\infty\). This implies that the maximum likelihood estimators (MLEs) of \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2,\) and \(c\) do not exist. We therefore obtain modified MLEs of the unknown parameters using the procedure proposed by Raqab, Madi, and Kundu (2007).

Since the likelihood function is maximized at \((\beta_1, \beta_2) = (x_{(1)}, y_{(1)})\), the modified MLEs of \(\beta_1\) and \(\beta_2\) are \(\hat{\beta}_1 = x_{(1)}, \hat{\beta}_2 = y_{(1)}\). The modified likelihood function for
\( \theta^* = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, c) \) is then given by

\[
L_{\text{mod}}(\theta^*) = c^{m^* + n^*} \alpha_1^{m^*} \alpha_2^{n^*} x_{(1)}^{c m^*} y_{(1)}^{c n^*} \prod_{i=2}^{m} x_{(i)}^{-(c+1)} \prod_{i=2}^{n} y_{(i)}^{-(c+1)} \prod_{i=2}^{m} (1 - (x_{(1)}/x_{(i)})^c)^{\alpha_1-1}
\]

\[
\times \prod_{i=2}^{n} (1 - (y_{(1)}/y_{(i)})^c)^{\alpha_2-1},
\]

where \( m^* = m - 1, n^* = n - 1 \), and the log likelihood is given by

\[
\log L(\theta) = (m^* + n^*) \log(c) + m^* \log(\alpha_1) + n^* \log(\alpha_2) + c(m^* \log(x_{(1)}) + n^* \log(y_{(1)}))
\]

\[-(c + 1) \left( \sum_{i=2}^{m} \log(x_i) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \log(y_i) \right) + (\alpha_1 - 1) \sum_{i=2}^{m} \log(1 - (x_{(1)}/x_{(i)})^c)
\]

\[+(\alpha_2 - 1) \sum_{i=2}^{n} \log(1 - (y_{(1)}/y_{(i)})^c).
\]

The modified MLEs of \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) are then obtained as

\[
\hat{\alpha}_1 = -\frac{m^*}{\sum_{i=2}^{m} \log(1 - (x_{(1)}/x_{(i)})^c)}, \quad \hat{\alpha}_2 = -\frac{n^*}{\sum_{i=2}^{n} \log(1 - (y_{(1)}/y_{(i)})^c)}
\]

and the modified MLE \( \hat{c} \) of \( c \) is a solution of the non-linear equation

\[ c = g(c), \]

where

\[
g(c) = (m^* + n^*) \left[ (\hat{\alpha}_1 - 1) \left\{ \sum_{i=2}^{m} \frac{\left( x_{(1)}/x_{(i)} \right)^c \log \left( x_{(1)}/x_{(i)} \right)}{1 - \left( x_{(1)}/x_{(i)} \right)^c} \right\}
\]

\[+(\hat{\alpha}_2 - 1) \left\{ \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{\left( y_{(1)}/y_{(i)} \right)^c \log \left( y_{(1)}/y_{(i)} \right)}{1 - \left( y_{(1)}/y_{(i)} \right)^c} \right\} + \sum_{i=2}^{m} \log \left( x_{(1)}/x_{(i)} \right) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \log \left( y_{(1)}/y_{(i)} \right) \right]^{-1}.
\]

For the given data set, the modified MLEs of the unknown parameters came out as \( \hat{\beta}_1 = 1800, \hat{\beta}_2 = 3000, \hat{c} = 3.1399, \hat{\alpha}_1 = 1.8014, \hat{\alpha}_2 = 1.5482 \). The goodness of fit of the distributions has been checked using probability plots (see Figure 3). These plots show that the exponentiated Pareto distribution fits fairly well to the two data sets.

Assuming the true values of the parameters \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \) and \( c \) to be known and given by their modified MLEs, i.e., \( \beta_1 = 1800, \beta_2 = 3000, c = 3.1399 \), we obtain the UMVUE of \( \xi = \Pr(X < Y) \) as \( \xi = 0.5714 \), and \( \text{var}(\xi) = 0.3265 \).

6 Conclusion

The paper studies the distributions of the ratios \( Y/X \) and \( X/(X+Y) \), when \( X \) and \( Y \) are distributed independently as exponentiated Pareto. These distributions find importance in
many situations, like studying the proportion of human settlement in a place, proportion of oil reserves in an oil field, etc. They are also useful in computing the reliability function $\Pr(X < Y)$, when $X$ and $Y$ denote the stress and strength variables, respectively. The paper, further, finds the UMVUE of $\Pr(X < Y)$, and also UMVUE of its variance. A simulated data set and a real data set are analyzed to estimate $\Pr(X < Y)$ and its variance.
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